arXiv:1510.02450v2 [math-ph] 11 Mar 2016

Sharp correspondence principle and quantum measurements

Laurent Charles and Leonid Polterovich[a]

March 14, 2016

Abstract

We prove sharp remainder bounds for the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and present applications to semiclassical quantum measurements.

Contents

1 Introduction and main results **2**
1.1 Sharp remainder estimates 2
1.2 Rigidity of remainders 4
1.3 Bargmann space 5
1.4 Joint approximate measurements 7
1.4.1 Preliminaries on quantum measurements 7
1.4.2 Joint measurements of the sign 9
1.5 Phase space localization on wave length scale 12

2 Constraints on the remainders **14**
2.1 Test balls and scaling relations 14
2.2 α-remainder 15
2.3 β-remainder 16
2.4 γ-remainder 18

[a]Partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant 178/13 and the European Research Council Advanced grant 338809.

3 Quantization of symplectic manifolds **18**
3.1 Bergman kernels and generalisations 18
3.2 Berezin-Toeplitz operators, (P1) and (P4) 21
3.3 Proof of sharp remainder estimates, (P2) and (P3) 23
3.3.1 Kostant-Souriau operators 23
3.3.2 Kähler case 25
3.3.3 Symplectic case 26

4 Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.9 **28**
4.1 Schur test and consequences 28
4.1.1 Schur test 28
4.1.2 The fundamental estimates 30
4.2 The proof 33

5 Bargmann space **36**

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Sharp remainder estimates

Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. We assume that (M, ω) is quantizable, i.e., the cohomology class $[\omega]/(2\pi)$ is integral. We write $\{f, g\}$ for the Poisson bracket of smooth functions f and g.

Fix an auxiliary Riemannian metric ρ on M. For a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ its $\mathcal{C}^k$-norm with respect to ρ is denoted by $|f|_k$. For a pair of smooth functions f, g put $|f, g|_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N} |f|_j \cdot |g|_{N-j}$. We write $||f|| = |f|_0 = \max |f|$ for the uniform norm, and $||f||_{L_1}$ for the L_1-norm of f with respect to the symplectic volume $\omega^n/n!$.

We also introduce a *reduced* version of $|f, g|_4$,

$$|f, g|_{1,3} := |f|_1 \cdot |g|_3 + |f|_2 \cdot |g|_2 + |f|_3 \cdot |g|_1 \tag{1}$$

which does not include fourth derivatives, and which plays an important role below.

For a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space H write $\mathcal{L}(H)$ for the space of Hermitian operators on H. The operator norm is denoted by $||\cdot||_{op}$ and $[A, B]$ stands for the commutator $AB - BA$.

A *Berezin* quantization of M is given by the following data:

- a subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ having 0 as a limit point;
- a family $H_\hbar$ of finite-dimensional complex Hilbert spaces, $\hbar \in \Lambda$;
- a family of $\mathcal{L}(H_\hbar)$-valued positive operator valued measures $G_\hbar$ on M.

In what follows we abbreviate POVM for a positive operator valued measure and refer to [La98] for a POVM-based viewpoint at quantization.

To each function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ corresponds *the Toeplitz operator* $T_\hbar(f) := \int_M f \ dG_\hbar$. We assume that the ($\mathbb{R}$-linear) map $T_\hbar : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \to \mathcal{L}(H_\hbar)$ is surjective for all $\hbar$, and that additionally it satisfies the following properties: there exist α, β, γ and $\delta > 0$ such that

(P1) **(norm correspondence)** $||f|| - \alpha|f|_2\hbar \leq ||T_\hbar(f)||_{op} \leq ||f||$;

(P2) **(the correspondence principle)**

$$|| - \frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot [T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)] - T_\hbar(\{f, g\})||_{op} \leq \beta \cdot |f, g|_{1,3}\hbar \ ;$$

(P3) **(quasi-multiplicativity)** $||T_\hbar(fg) - T_\hbar(f)T_\hbar(g)||_{op} \leq \gamma|f, g|_2\hbar$;

(P4) **(trace correspondence)**

$$\left|\mathrm{trace}(T_\hbar(f)) - (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \int_M f\frac{\omega^n}{n!}\right| \leq \delta \cdot ||f||_{L_1}\hbar^{-(n-1)} \ ,$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Every quantizable symplectic manifold admits a Berezin quantization satisfying (P1)-(P4).*

The novelty here is a fine structure of the error terms (a.k.a., *remainders*) in (P1)-(P3). In particular, for quantizable Kähler manifolds, the standard Berezin-Toeplitz quantization satisfies (P1)-(P4).

Interestingly enough, for fixed ω and ρ, the coefficients α, β and γ are subject to constraints which manifest optimality of inequalities (P1)-(P3). We discuss them in the next section. As a counterpoint, for certain quantization the constant δ in (P4) can be made arbitrarily small, see Remark 1.4 below. Furthermore, we present applications of (P1)-(P3) to semiclassical quantum measurements.

The seminal reference on Berezin quantization is the book [BdMG81] by Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin. In [BMS94] and [Gui95], it was deduced from [BdMG81] the existence of a quantization satisfying the following version of (P1)-(P3): for any smooth functions f, g,

$$\|T_\hbar(f)\| = |f| + \mathcal{O}(\hbar), \quad T_\hbar(f)T_\hbar(g) = T_\hbar(fg) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \tag{2}$$

$$[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)] = \tfrac{\hbar}{i} T_\hbar(\{f, g\}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \tag{3}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}$'s are in uniform norm and depend on f and g. More recently, Barron et al [BMMP14] have extended (2) to functions of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ and (3) to functions of class $\mathcal{C}^4$. We will prove in this paper that actually (3) holds for functions of class $\mathcal{C}^3$ (as we shall see in Remark 1.9 below, the absence of fourth derivatives in the correspondence principle (P2) has meaningful applications). Additionally, in Proposition 3.7 below we prove a slightly stronger version of quasi-multiplicativity (P3). More importantly, we make explicit the dependence in f and g of the remainders in the sense of (P1)-(P3).

1.2 Rigidity of remainders

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, ω) be closed quantizable symplectic manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric ρ. There exist positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 depending on (M, ω, ρ) such that for every Berezin quantization*

(i) $\alpha \geq C_1$;

(ii) $\beta \geq C_2 \alpha^{-2}$;

(iii) $\gamma \geq C_3$.

The proof is given in Section 2 below.

Question 1.3. *Does there exist a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that for every Berezin quantization $\beta \geq C_4$?*

Note that Theorem 1.2(ii) does not rule out existence of a quantization with the large error coefficient α in the norm correspondence (P1) and a small error coefficient β in the correspondence principle (P2). The essence of Question 1.3 is to understand whether this scenario is realistic.

Remark 1.4. There is no rigidity for the δ-remainder in (P4). Indeed, for the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization with the half-form correction [Cha06 , formula (11)] the trace correspondence (P4) upgrades to

$$\left|\mathrm{trace}(T_\hbar(f)) - (2\pi\hbar)^{-n}\int_M f\frac{\omega^n}{n!}\right| \leq \delta' \cdot ||f||_{L_1}\hbar^{-(n-2)} ,$$

mind the power $-(n-2)$ in the right hand side. Thus, decreasing $\hbar$, we can make $\delta = \delta'\hbar$ arbitrarily small.

Remark 1.5. The proof of the lower bound on the β-remainder in the correspondence principle (P2) uses that the Berezin quantization is defined via POVMs and thus sends positive functions to positive operators. Without the preservation of positivity such a lower bound does not necessarily exist. For instance, for the *geometric quantization* of a compact quantizable Kähler manifold in the presence of the metaplectic correction, the remainder in (P2) is of the order $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$, see formula (19) in [Cha07]. Let us mention that the preservation of positivity is crucial for our applications to quantum measurements.

1.3 Bargmann space

Even though Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of certain non-compact manifolds has been studied since foundational paper [Ber75] (see [MM08] for more recent developments), no general statement in the spirit of Theorem 1.1 is currently available in the absence of compactness . Nevertheless, we perform a case study and explain how (P1)-(P4) extend to a noteworthy quantization of the symplectic vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$, namely to Toeplitz operators in Bargmann space. We also give a general estimate of the remainder in the composition of Toeplitz operators. Surprisingly, we didn't find these results in the literature. The Bargmann space serves as a source of intuition for several aspects of our exploration of compact manifolds. First, for the Bargmann space, quasi-multiplicativity (P2) follows from an elementary (albeit tricky) algebraic consideration and thus enables one to guess the structure of the remainders in the compact case. Second, for the Bargmann space, quantization commutes with the phase-space rescaling (see formula (57) below). This highlights the significance of the rescaling which serves as a useful tool for proving rigidity of remainders in the compact case.

Recall that for any $\hbar > 0$, the Bargmann space $\mathcal{B}_\hbar$ is the space of holomorphic functions of $\mathbb{C}^n$ which are square integrable against the weight $e^{-\hbar^{-1}|z|^2}\mu$. Here μ is the measure $|dz_1 \dots dz_n d\overline{z}_1 \dots d\overline{z}_n|$ and $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$. For any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, define the Toeplitz operator with multiplicator f

$$T_\hbar(f) = \Pi_\hbar f : \mathcal{B}_\hbar \to \mathcal{B}_\hbar$$

where $\Pi_\hbar$ is the orthogonal projector of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-\hbar^{-1}|z|^2}\mu)$ onto $\mathcal{B}_\hbar$. $T_\hbar(f)$ is a bounded operator with uniform norm $\|T_\hbar(f)\|_{op} \leqslant \sup|f|$. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu)$, one readily checks that the operator $T_\hbar(f)$ is of the trace class, and (P4) holds.

For any integer k and function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^k$, introduce the semi-norm

$$|f|'_k = \sup_{|\alpha|=k,\, x\in\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial^\alpha f(x)|$$

Let $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the space of functions f of class $\mathcal{C}^k$ such that $|f|'_0$, $|f|'_1$, …, $|f|'_k$ are bounded.

Theorem 1.6. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2N}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^N_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, for any $\hbar$ we have*

$$T_\hbar(f)T_\hbar(g) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (-1)^\ell \hbar^\ell \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\, |\alpha|=\ell} \frac{1}{\alpha!} T_\hbar\big((\partial_z^\alpha f)(\partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha g)\big) + \hbar^N R_N(f,g)\ ,$$

where $\|R_N(f,g)\|_{op} \leqslant C_N \sum_{m=0}^N |f|'_{N+m}|g|'_{N-m}$.

To our knowledge, the estimate of the remainder is better than what can be found in the litterature. For instance, in [Co92] or [Chi09], the number of derivatives involved in the estimates depend on the dimension n. However, in [Le10] (Section 2.4.3), Lerner obtained estimates similar to theorem 1.6 with $N = 2$ for the quantity $T_1(f)T_1(g) + T_1(g)T_1(f)$.

Interestingly, it seems that Berezin-Toeplitz quantization behaves better than Weyl quantization. Indeed, in all the results we know, the number of derivatives needed to estimate the remainder when we truncate the Moyal product, depends on the dimension. For general results on Weyl quantization with symbols of limited regularity, we refer the reader to [Sj08].

By Theorem 1.6, we obtain the following version of (P2)-(P3): for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n), g \in \mathcal{C}^1_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$\big\|T_\hbar(fg) - T_\hbar(f)T_\hbar(g)\big\|_{op} \leq \gamma'(|f|'_1|g|'_1 + |f|'_2|g|'_0)\hbar$$

and for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^4_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^2_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$\Big\| -\frac{i}{\hbar}\big[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)\big] - T_\hbar(\{f,g\})\Big\|_{op} \leq \beta'\big(|f|'_2|g|'_2 + |f|'_3|g|'_1 + |f|'_4|g|'_0\big)\hbar\,,$$

where the constants γ', β' do not depend on f, g. Adapting the proof of (P2) in the closed symplectic case, we will also show that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^3_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$\Big\| -\frac{i}{\hbar}\big[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)\big] - T_\hbar(\{f,g\})\Big\|_{op} \leq \beta''\big(|f|'_1|g|'_3 + |f|'_2|g|'_2 + |f|'_3|g|'_1\big)\hbar\,. \tag{4}$$

Let us mention finally that by using explicit form (58) of the Schwartz kernel of $\Pi_\hbar$ and arguing as in the compact case, one gets a lower bound for $\|T_\hbar(f)\|_{op}$ as in (P1) provided f is smooth and its uniform norm is attained at some point of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

1.4 Joint approximate measurements

In this section we present an application of our results to joint approximate measurements of semiclassical observables. A slightly different measurement scheme was discussed in a similar context in [Po14] (see also [PR14, Chapter 9]). The main novelty is that the sharp remainder bounds enable us to work on smaller scales including the wave-length scale.

1.4.1 Preliminaries on quantum measurements

We start with some preliminaries on quantum measurements (we refer the reader to [BLW14], [PR14, Chapter 9] and references therein). Let H be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and Θ be a Hausdorff locally compact second countable topological space Θ equipped with the Borel σ-algebra.

Any $\mathcal{L}(H)$-valued POVM W on Θ represents a generalized quantum observable which takes values in Θ. When the system is prepared in a pure state $\xi \in H$, the probability of finding W in a measurable subset $X \subset \Theta$ equals $\mu_{W,\xi}(X) := \langle W(X)\xi, \xi\rangle$. Given a random variable (a.k.a. a bounded measurable function) $f : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$, the integral

$$\mathbb{E}_W(f) = \int_\Theta f\, dW$$

plays the role of the (operator-valued) expectation of f. Indeed, $\langle \mathbb{E}_W(f)\xi, \xi\rangle$ is the usual expectation of f with respect to the probability measure $\mu_{W,\xi}$.

An important character of our story is *the noise operator*

$$\Delta_W(f) = \int_\Theta f^2 \, dW - \left(\int_\Theta f \, dW \right)^2 ,$$

which roughly speaking can be interpreted as an operator-valued variance. For instance, if ξ is an eigenvector of $\mathbb{E}_W(f)$ with an eigenvalue λ, $\mathbb{E}_W(f)^2\xi = \lambda^2\xi$ and hence

$$\langle \Delta_W(f)\xi, \xi\rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}_W(f^2)\xi, \xi\rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}_W(f)\xi, \xi\rangle^2$$

is the variance of f with respect to $\mu_{W,\xi}$.

Example 1.7. Let us illustrate the above notions in the case when $\Theta = M$ is a quantizable symplectic manifold and $W = G_\hbar$ is the POVM defining the Berezin quantization. In this case the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{G_\hbar}(f)$ of a random variable f equals $T_\hbar(f)$ and the noise operator $\Delta_{G_\hbar}(f)$ is given by the expression $T_\hbar(f^2) - T_\hbar(f)^2$ appearing in the left hand side of the quasi-multiplicativity property (P3). The measure $\mu_{G_\hbar,\xi}$ governs the distribution of the quantum state ξ in the phase space. Limits of such measures as $\hbar \to 0$, which are called semiclassical defect measures, has been studied in the literature, see e.g. [Z12, Chapter 5]. Look now at the case when ξ is an eigenvector of $T_\hbar(f)$ with an eigenvalue λ for a smooth classical observable f on M. The expectation of f with respect to $\mu_{G_\hbar,\xi}$ equals λ, while the variance coincides with the noise $\langle \Delta_{G_\hbar}(f)\xi, \xi\rangle$. By (P3), the latter does not exceed $\gamma|f,f|_2\hbar$. It follows from the Chebyshev inequality that for every $r > 0$ (perhaps depending on $\hbar$)

$$\mu_{G_\hbar,\xi}(\{|f - \lambda| \geq r\}) \leq \frac{\gamma \cdot |f,f|_2\hbar}{r^2} \, . \tag{5}$$

This inequality manifests the fact that in the semiclassical limit the eigenfunctions are concentrated near the energy level $\{f = \lambda\}$, see e.g. [Z12, Section 6.2.1]. Note also that (5) provides a meaningful estimate for the concentration at the wave length scale $r \sim \sqrt{\hbar}$.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be a pair of quantum observables. A *joint unbiased approximate measurement* of A and B consists of an $\mathcal{L}(H)$-valued POVM W

on some space Θ as above and a pair of random variables f and g on Θ such that $\mathbb{E}_W(f) = A$ and $\mathbb{E}_W(g) = B$. Introduce *the minimal noise* associated to the pair (A, B) by

$$\nu(A, B) := \inf_{W,f,g} ||\Delta_W(f)||_{op}^{1/2} \cdot ||\Delta_W(g)||_{op}^{1/2} ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all W, f, g as above. (Note: the space Θ is not fixed, it is varying together with the POVM W). The following *unsharpness principle* (see [PR14, Theorem 9.4.16]) provides a lower bound on the minimal noise:

$$\nu(A, B) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot |||[A, B]|||_{op}. \tag{6}$$

Fix now any scheme $T_\hbar$ of Berezin quantization of a closed quantizable symplectic manifold (M, ω). Let $G_\hbar$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{L}(H_\hbar)$-valued POVM on M, i.e., $T_\hbar(f) = \int f \ dG_\hbar$ for every smooth function f on M. In light of Example 1.7 above, the unsharpness principle yields

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_\hbar(f^2) - T_\hbar(f)^2||_{op}^{1/2} \cdot ||T_\hbar(g^2) - T_\hbar(g)^2||_{op}^{1/2} &\geq \nu(T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot |||[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)]|||_{op} \ \ \forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Combining this with (P3) and (P2) we get that

$$\gamma |f, f|_2^{1/2} |g, g|_2^{1/2} \hbar \geq \nu(T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\hbar ||\{f, g\}|| - \beta \hbar^2 |f, g|_{1,3}) \ . \tag{8}$$

1.4.2 Joint measurements of the sign

Fix an increasing function $u : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ which equals -1 on $(-\infty, -1]$, satisfies $u(z) = z$ when z is near 0 and equals 1 on $[1, +\infty)$. For a function f on M and a positive $s \ll 1$ the classical observable $f_s := u(f(x)/s)$ can be considered as a smooth approximation to the sign of $f(x)$. We refer to s as *the fuzziness* parameter.

Suppose that that the set $\{f = 0, g = 0\}$ is non-empty and

$$\sup_{\{f=0,g=0\}} |\{f, g\}| > 0 \ .$$

Assume also that $0 < s \leq t \ll 1$. In what follows we are focusing on simultaneous approximate measurements of $T_\hbar(f_s)$ and $T_\hbar(g_t)$, the semiclassical

observables which correspond to the signs of f and g with the fuzziness parameters s and t, respectively. Since f and g do not Poisson commute, such a measurement is in general noisy due to the unsharpness principle. Indeed, by (7) $\nu(T_\hbar(f_s), T_\hbar(g_t))$ lies in the *noise interval* $I_{noise} := [\mathcal{N}_-, \mathcal{N}_+]$ with

$$\mathcal{N}_- = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |||[T_\hbar(f_s), T_\hbar(g_t)]||_{op}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_+ = ||T_\hbar(f_s^2) - T_\hbar(f_s)^2||_{op}^{1/2} \cdot ||T_\hbar(g_t^2) - T_\hbar(g_t)^2||_{op}^{1/2} .$$

Suppose now that $s = r\hbar^p$ and $t = R\hbar^q$ with $R, r > 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$ and $p + q \leq 1$. The standing assumption $s \leq t$ yields $p \geq q$, and $r \leq R$ if $p = q$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $0 \leq q \leq p \leq 1/2$. There exists constants $c_+ > c_- > 0$ depending only on f, g, u and the metric such that*

$$I_{noise} \subset [c_- s^{-1} t^{-1} \hbar, c_+ s^{-1} t^{-1} \hbar] , \tag{9}$$

for all sufficiently small $\hbar$ and, in case $p = 1/2$, for all sufficiently large r.

In particular, if $p < 1/2$, the minimal noise ν is positive and $\sim \hbar^{1-p-q}$, and at the wave length scale $p = q = 1/2$, it is bounded from below by $c_+ R^{-1} r^{-1} > 0$.

Proof. It follows from (P2) and (P3) (cf. (8) above) that

$$\mathcal{N}_+ \leq c_1 s^{-1} t^{-1} \hbar$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_- \geq c_2 s^{-1} t^{-1} \hbar - c_3 s^{-3} t^{-1} \hbar^2 = c_2 \hbar s^{-1} t^{-1} (1 - c_4 \hbar s^{-2}) , \tag{10}$$

where c_i are positive constants depending only on f, g, u and the metric. Since $s^{-2}\hbar = r^{-2}\hbar^{1-2p}$, we get that (9) holds for all sufficiently small $\hbar$ if $p < 1/2$ and for all sufficiently small $\hbar$ and all sufficiently large r if $p = 1/2$. □

These results deserve a discussion.

Remark 1.9. Now we are ready to explain the advantages of the reduced expression $|f,g|_{1,3}$ (see formula (1)) appearing in the remainder term of the correspondence principle (P2) as compared to $|f,g|_4$ which includes fourth derivatives. To this end, replace for a moment $|f,g|_{1,3}$ in the remainder of (P2) by $|f,g|_4$. Then, accordingly, inequality (10) will be modified as

$$\mathcal{N}_- \geq c_2\hbar s^{-1}t^{-1} - c_3\hbar^2 s^{-4} \ .$$

The first term in the right hand side is $\sim \hbar^{1-p-q}$ and and the second term is $\sim \hbar^{2-4p}$. Thus for positivity of the right hand side it is necessary that $3p-q \leq 1$. This inequality is violated, for instance, in the case when $p = 1/2, q = 0$, i.e., $s \sim \hbar^{1/2}$ and $t \sim 1$. This case however can be handled by using the reduced remainder. Indeed, inclusion (9) above yields $\nu \sim R^{-1}r^{-1}\hbar^{1/2}$ for $p = 1/2, q = 0$.

Remark 1.10. Consider the following example: Let $M = S^2 = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the standard sphere equipped with the symplectic form of the total area 2π. Put $f = x$ and $g = y$. According to the prediction of [Po14] (which was made for a slightly different measurement scheme) the noise ν of such a measurement satisfies

$$\nu \gtrapprox \frac{\hbar}{\text{Area}(\Pi)} \ , \tag{11}$$

where Π is a "rectangle"

$$\Pi = \{|x| \lessapprox s,\ |y| \lessapprox t,\ z > 0\} \subset S^2 \ .$$

Inequality (11) was proved in [Po14] for $s, t \sim 1$. Our methods confirm this prediction for smaller fuzziness parameters including the wave length scales $s \sim \hbar^{1/2}, t \sim \hbar^{1/2}$ as well as $s \sim \hbar^{1/2}, t \sim 1$. Indeed, observe that $\text{Area}(\Pi) \approx st$ and hence inequality (11) follows from (9).

Remark 1.11. The minimal noise ν is well defined for arbitrary small fuzziness parameters s, t. Calculation/estimation of ν in this case does not belong to the realm of semiclassical analysis as the derivatives of f_s and g_t blow up and the remainders in (P2),(P3) dominate the leading terms in the bounds for $\mathcal{N}_-$ and $\mathcal{N}_+$. We refer to a forthcoming paper [LFPS16] for a progress in this direction.

1.5 Phase space localization on wave length scale

Our next application of the fine structure of the remainders in the Berezin quantization deals with phase space localization of a quantum particle at small scales. We use a model proposed in [Po14].

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$ be a finite open cover of a closed quantizable symplectic manifold M. Given a partition of unity $\{f_1, ..., f_N\}$ subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$, consider the following *registration procedure*: if the system is prepared in a quantum state $\xi \in H_\hbar$, $|\xi| = 1$, it is registered in the set U_i with probability $\langle T_\hbar(f_i)\xi, \xi\rangle$. Here the cover and the partition of unity may depend on $\hbar$. The registration procedure enables one to localize a semiclassical system in the phase space.

For $x \in Q := [-1, 1]^N$ put $f_x := \sum x_i f_i$. Define

$$\mathcal{N}_+ := \max_{x\in Q} ||T_\hbar(f_x^2) - T_\hbar(f_x)^2||_{op}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_- := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \max_{x,y\in Q} |||[T_\hbar(f_x), T_\hbar(f_y)]|||_{op} \ .$$

Observe that $\mathcal{N}_+ \geq \mathcal{N}_-$ by the unsharpness principle (7) above.

The above registration procedure is known to exhibit *inherent noise* which measures the unsharpness of the registration procedure. We refer to [Po14] and Chapter 9 of [PR14] for the precise definition. It is important for us that this noise lies in the interval $I_{noise} := [\mathcal{N}_-, \mathcal{N}_+]$, which we shall call *the noise interval* (it is a cousin of the noise interval appearing in the discussion on joint approximate measurements in Section 1.4 above). The fine remainder estimates obtained in this paper yield meaningful bounds on the noise interval of the phase space localization procedure on small scales, up to the wave length scale.

(♣) The choice of the partition of unity: To start with, let us choose a cover of M together with a subordinated partition of unity in a special way. Fix $r_0 > 0$ small enough and for $0 < r \leq r_0$ consider a maximal $r/2$-net $\{z_i\}$ of points in M (with respect to the Riemannian distance d associated to the metric ρ). This means that $d(z_i, z_j) \geq r/2$ for $i \neq j$ and $\{z_i\}$ is a maximal collection with this property. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the cover of M by metric balls $U_i := B(z_i, r)$. Let $u : [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut off function which equals 1 on $[0, 0.6]$ and vanishes on $[0.7, +\infty)$. Define functions g_i on M by $g_i(x) = u(d(x, z_i)/r)$. It was shown in [Po14] that there exists

$p = p(M, \omega, \rho)$ such that every $x \in M$ is covered by at most p balls U_i. Moreover, the balls $B(z_i, 0.6r)$ cover M. Thus the functions

$$f_i := \frac{g_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N g_i} \ , \ i = 1, \ldots, N$$

form a partition of unity subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$ and moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $r \in (0, r_0)$

$$|f_i|_k \leq Cr^{-k} \ , k = 1, 2, 3. \tag{12}$$

In what follows we focus on the registration procedure associated to the cover $\mathcal{U}$ and the partition of unity $\{f_i\}$ described in (♣) , where the radius $r \in (0, r_0]$ plays the role of a parameter. The next result provides bounds for the corresponding noise interval.

Theorem 1.12. *There exist constants $0 < c_- < c_+$ and $\kappa > 0$ depending only on (M, ρ, ω) such that*

$$I_{noise} \subset [c_- \hbar r^{-2}, c_+ \hbar r^{-2}] \tag{13}$$

for any sufficiently small $\hbar > 0$ and $r \in [\kappa \hbar^{1/2}, r_0]$.

Few remarks are in order. Choose $R > 0$, $\epsilon \in [0, 1/2]$ and apply Theorem 1.12 to $r = R\hbar^{1/2-\epsilon}$. If $\epsilon = 1/2$ and $R \in (0, r_0)$ is independent of $\hbar$, then the noise is strictly positive and of order $\sim \hbar$ as $\hbar \to 0$. This result, which was proved in [Po14], does not require the fine remainder estimates. The latter enter the play when $\epsilon < 1/2$. Let us emphasize also that *for $\epsilon = 0$ and a fixed $R \geq \kappa$, i.e., on the wave length scale, the noise is strictly positive and of order ~ 1 as $\hbar \to 0$.*

Let us mention also that the registration procedure above satisfies *noise-localization uncertainty relation*:

$$\text{Noise } \times \ \max_i \text{Size}(U_i) \geq c\hbar \ , \tag{14}$$

where Size is a properly defined symplectic invariant of U_i, and $c > 0$ is independent of $\hbar$. Indeed, Noise $\sim \hbar r^{-2}$ and since U_i are Riemannian balls of a sufficiently small radius r , the size of U_i is $\sim r^2$. Relation (14) has been established in [Po14] for the case $\epsilon = 1/2$ (i.e., for $r \sim 1$) for any partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\{U_i\}$. Here we work on smaller scales up

to the wave-length scale. As a price for that, we have to assume that the derivatives of the functions forming the partition of unity are controlled by (12).

Proof. Throughout the proof we denote by $c_1, c_2, ...$ positive constants which are independent on r and $\hbar$. We assume that $r \leq r_0$.

Observe that by (12)

$$|f_x|_k \leq pCr^{-k},\ k = 1, 2, 3\ . \tag{15}$$

Thus by (P3)

$$\mathcal{N}_+ \leq c_1 \hbar r^{-2}\ .$$

It has been shown in [Po14, Example 4.5 and formula (28)] that

$$\mu := \max_{x,y \in Q} ||\{f_x, f_y\}|| \geq c_2 r^{-2}\ .$$

By (P1), (P2) and (15) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_- \geq & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu\hbar - c_3\hbar^2 \max_{x,y\in Q}(|\{f_x, f_y\}|_2 + |f_x, f_y|_{1,3}) \\ \geq & c_4\hbar r^{-2} - c_5\hbar^2 r^{-4} \geq \hbar r^{-2}(c_4 - \frac{c_5}{\kappa^2})\end{aligned}$$

provided $r \geq \kappa\hbar^{1/2}$. If κ is sufficiently large, $c_4 - c_5/\kappa^2 = c_6 > 0$. Combining the upper bound on $\mathcal{N}_-$ with the lower bound on $\mathcal{N}_+$, we get the desired result. □

2 Constraints on the remainders

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Items (i),(ii),(iii) are proved in Sections 2.2,2.3 and 2.4, respectively.

2.1 Test balls and scaling relations

Certain constructions below are local, i.e., the action takes place in a neighbourhood of a point in M. To facilitate the discussion, we shall fix a *test ball* $B(r) \subset M$, that is an open ball whose closure lies in a Darboux chart equipped with coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_{2n})$. The ball B is given by $\{\sum x_i^2 < r^2\}$,

where $r \leq 1$. In the chart the symplectic form ω is given by $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + ... + dx_{2n-1} \wedge dx_{2n}$. It would be convenient to assume, without loss of generality, that the metric ρ in the chart is Euclidean. This assumption will change various bounds on the norms of derivatives $|f|_N$ as well as the bounds on the quantities α, β, γ entering (P1)-(P3) by multiplicative constants whose precise values are irrelevant for our discussion.

In what follows every compactly supported smooth function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)$ is considered as a smooth function on M: we extend it by 0.

For a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(1))$ and a number $s \in (0,1]$ define a rescaled function $f_s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B)$ as follows: $f_s(x) = f(x/s)$ for $x \in B(s)$ and $f_s(x) = 0$ otherwise. The following obvious *scaling relations* turn out to be very useful below:

$$|f_s|_k = s^{-k}|f|_k, \quad |f_s, g_s|_k = s^{-k}|f,g|_k, \quad |f_s, g_s|_{1,3} = s^{-4}|f,g|_{1,3}, \\ |\{f_s, g_s\}|_k = s^{-(k+2)}|\{f,g\}_s|_k \ . \tag{16}$$

2.2 α-remainder

We shall show that for a test ball $B = B^{2n}(1)$,

$$\alpha \geq c \cdot \sup_f \frac{||f||^{1+1/n}}{||f||_{L_1}^{1/n} \cdot |f|_2} \ , \tag{17}$$

where the supremum is taken over all smooth non-constant non-negative compactly supported functions functions f on B, and $c > 0$ is a numerical constant. Incidentally, the finiteness of the supremum in the right hand side of (17) follows from a generalized interpolation inequality in [CZ98]. Additionally, our proof shows that the constant c is independent of the dimension $2n$.

Indeed, fix any function f as above. Put $f_s(x) = f(x/s)$ with $s = \sqrt{t\hbar}$. Combining scaling relations (16) with (P1) and (P4) we get that

$$||T_\hbar(f_s)||_{op} \geq \|f\| - \alpha t^{-1}|f|_2$$

and

$$\mathrm{trace}(T_\hbar(f_s)) \leq (t/(2\pi))^n \cdot ||f||_{L_1} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$$

Sending $\hbar \to 0$ and noticing that $||T_\hbar(f_s)||_{op} \leq \mathrm{trace}(T_\hbar(f_s))$ since $f_s \geq 0$, we get that

$$\alpha \geq u(t) := \frac{||f|| \cdot t - \frac{|f|_{L_1}}{(2\pi)^n} \cdot t^{n+1}}{|f|_2} \ .$$

One readily calculates that the maximal value of u equals

$$c(n) \cdot \frac{||f||^{1+1/n}}{||f||_{L_1}^{1/n} \cdot |f|_2} ,$$

where $c(n) \geq c > 0$. This proves (17). □

Remark 2.1. By (P4), $d_\hbar := \dim H_\hbar = (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(M) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-(n-1)})$. It turns out that a weaker dimension bound, still capturing the correct order of $d_\hbar$ in $\hbar$, follows from the norm correspondence (P1):

$$d_\hbar \geq c\alpha^{-n}\hbar^{-n}, \quad c > 0 . \tag{18}$$

Let us present a proof. Denote by $c_0, c_1, ...$ positive constants depending on the manifold M and the metric ρ. For every sufficiently small $r > 0$ the manifold M admits a partition of unity $f_1, ..., f_N$ with $N \geq c_0 r^{-2n}$, $||f_i|| \geq c_1$ and $|f_i|_2 \leq c_2 r^{-2}$ for all i. This can be readily extracted from (♣) of Section 1.5 above. Put $A_i = T_\hbar(f_i)$. By (P1), for all i

$$||A_i||_{op} \geq c_1 - \alpha \cdot c_2 r^{-2}\hbar . \tag{19}$$

Since $A_i \geq 0$, we have that $\mathrm{trace}(A_i) \geq ||A_i||_{op}$. Therefore,

$$d_\hbar = \mathrm{trace}(\mathbb{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{trace}(A_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||A_i||_{op} .$$

Combining (19) with $N \geq c_0 r^{-2n}$ we get that

$$d_\hbar \geq c_0 r^{-2n}(c_1 - \alpha \cdot c_2 r^{-2}\hbar) .$$

Choosing $r = c_3\alpha^{1/2}\hbar^{1/2}$ with $c_3 > 0$ sufficiently large, we get (18). □

2.3 β-remainder

Again, we work in a test ball $B = B^{2n}(1)$

STEP 1: Write $B = B^{2n}(1)$. Fix a pair of non-commuting functions $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)$. Observe that

$$||[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)]||_{op} \leq 2||T_\hbar(f)||_{op} \cdot ||T_\hbar(g)||_{op} \leq 2||f|| \cdot ||g||$$

and

$$||T_\hbar(\{f,g\})||_{op} \geq ||\{f,g\}|| - \alpha\hbar|\{f,g\}|_2 \, .$$

Combining these two inequalities with the correspondence principle (P2), we get that

$$\hbar^2(\alpha|\{f,g\}|_2 + \beta|f,g|_{1,3}) \geq \hbar||\{f,g\}|| - 2||f|| \cdot ||g|| \, . \tag{20}$$

Step 2: Applying the scaling relations to equation (20) above we get that

$$\hbar^2(\alpha s^{-4}|\{f,g\}|_2 + \beta s^{-4}|f,g|_{1,3}) \geq \hbar s^{-2}||\{f,g\}|| - 2||f|| \cdot ||g|| \, .$$

Put $t = s^2\hbar^{-1}$, $a = ||\{f,g\}||$, $b = 2||f|| \cdot ||g||$ and rewrite this inequality as

$$\alpha|\{f,g\}|_2 + \beta|f,g|_{1,3} \geq ta - t^2 b \, .$$

The right hand side attains the maximum $a^2/(4b)$ for $t = a/(2b)$. Note that $t = a/(2b)$ means that $s = \sqrt{a/(2b)} \cdot \hbar$, and so $s \in (0,1)$ for $\hbar$ sufficiently small. Therefore, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)$ with $\{f,g\} \neq 0$

$$\alpha|\{f,g\}|_2 + \beta|f,g|_{1,3} \geq \frac{||\{f,g\}||^2}{8||f|| \cdot ||g||} \, . \tag{21}$$

Step 3: Next, we shall take f, g in the following special form. Choose non-commuting functions $F, G \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)$, and put

$$f = z^{1/2} F \sin(z^{-1}G), \quad g = z^{1/2} F \cos(z^{-1}G) \, ,$$

where $z > 0$ plays the role of a small parameter. A direct calculation shows that the Poisson bracket

$$u := \{f,g\} = \{-F^2/2, G\}$$

is independent of z. At the same time, if $||F|| \leq 1$, we have that $||f|| \cdot ||g|| \leq z$. Furthermore, $|f,g|_{1,3} \leq K \cdot z^{-3}$ with some $K > 0$. Combining this with (21) we get that

$$\alpha|u|_2 + K\beta z^{-3} \geq z^{-1}||u||^2/8 \, .$$

Let us write $c_1, c_2, ...$ for positive constants independent on z. With this notation the previous inequality yields

$$\beta \geq c_1 z^2 - c_2 \alpha z^3 \, .$$

The maximum of the right hand side (considered as a function of z) equals $c_3\alpha^{-2}$. Thus $\beta \geq c_3\alpha^{-2}$, as required. □

2.4 γ-remainder

Applying (P3) to $T_\hbar(fg)$ and $T_\hbar(gf)$ and subtracting we get that

$$||[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)]||_{op} \leq 2\gamma |f, g|_2 \hbar \ .$$

On the other hand by (P1) and (P2),

$$||[T_\hbar(f), T_\hbar(g)]||_{op} \geq \hbar ||\{f, g\}|| + O(\hbar^2) \ .$$

Combining these inequalities and letting $\hbar \to 0$, we get that

$$\gamma \geq \sup_{f,g} \frac{||\{f, g\}||}{2|f, g|_2} > 0 \ ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of smooth non-commuting functions f and g on M. □

3 Quantization of symplectic manifolds

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic compact manifold, endowed with a prequantum bundle L, a complex structure j and a Hermitian line bundle $A \to M$. Recall that $L \to M$ is a Hermitian line bundle endowed with a connection ∇ of curvature $\frac{1}{i}\omega$. j is not necessarily integrable, but it is assumed to be compatible with ω, that is for any tangent vectors $X, Y \in T_pM$, $\omega(jX, jY) = \omega(X, Y)$ and if X does not vanish, $\omega(X, jX) > 0$.

Convention: Throughout this section we use the integer parameter k instead of $\hbar \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ having in mind that $\hbar = 1/k$.
In the sequel, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ of non negative integers.

3.1 Bergman kernels and generalisations

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_k = L^k \otimes A$. The space $\mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$ consisting of continuous sections of A_k has a natural scalar product, given by integrating the pointwise scalar product against the Liouville volume $\mu = \omega^n/n!$,

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \int_M (s, t)(x)\mu(x) \ .$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding norm, $\|s\|^2 = \langle s, s\rangle$. To any finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_k$ of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$ is associated a kernel B_k, defined in any orthonormal basis $(e_{i,k},\ i = 1, \ldots, N_k)$ of $\mathcal{H}_k$ by:

$$B_k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} e_{i,k}(x) \otimes \overline{e}_{i,k}(y), \qquad x, y \in M \tag{22}$$

Observe that B_k is a smooth section of $A_k \boxtimes \overline{A}_k$. [a]

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a family $(\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^k \otimes A),\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ of finite dimensional subspaces such that the corresponding family (B_k) is in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^n)$ and satisfies for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$B_k(x, y) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^n E^k(x, y) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-m, m/2]} k^{-\ell} \sigma_\ell(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-(m+1)/2}) \ , \tag{23}$$

where $2n$ is the dimension of M and

- *E is a section of $L \boxtimes \overline{L}$ satisfying $E(x, x) = 1$, $|E(x, y)| < 1$ if $x \neq y$ and for any vector field $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, T^{1,0}M)$, $(\nabla_{\overline{Z}} \boxtimes \mathrm{id})E$ and $(\mathrm{id} \boxtimes \nabla_Z)E$ vanish to second order along the diagonal of $M^2 = M \times M$.*
- *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, σ_ℓ is a section of $A \boxtimes \overline{A}$. If ℓ is negative, σ_ℓ vanishes to order -3ℓ along the diagonal.*

Furthermore $\sigma_0(x, x) = \mathrm{id}_{A_x}$ for any $x \in M$.

In this statement, the $\mathcal{O}(k^{-(m+1)/2})$ of (23) is uniform with respect to x and y. Furthermore, we have made the identifications $L_x \otimes \overline{L}_x \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $A_x \otimes \overline{A}_x \simeq \mathbb{C}$ induced by the metrics. In the sequel, we will often view $B_k(x, x)$ as a complex number through these identifications. $T^{1,0}M$ denote the subbundle $\ker(j - i)$ of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Since $|E| < 1$ outside the diagonal Δ, for any compact set K not intersecting Δ, B_k is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$ uniformly on K for any N. That B_k is a $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^n)$ has the following meaning: for any open set U of M^2, for any compact subset K of U, for any unitary frames $\tau_A : U \to A \boxtimes \overline{A}$ and $\tau_L : U \to L \boxtimes \overline{L}$, for

[a] If $E \to M$ and $F \to N$ are two vector bundles, $E \boxtimes F \to M \times N$ is the vector bundle $(\pi_M^* E) \otimes (\pi_N^* F)$, where π_M, π_N are the projections from $M \times N$ onto M and N respectively.

any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for any vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ of M^2, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any k,

$$B_k = f_k \tau^k \otimes \tau_A \text{ on } U \ \Rightarrow \mathrm{Sup}_K \, |X_1 \ldots X_m f_k| \leqslant C k^{n+m}.$$

It is not difficult to see that for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M^2, A \boxtimes \overline{A})$ vanishing to order p along the diagonal, the familly $(E^k \otimes \sigma, k \in \mathbb{N})$ is in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-p/2}) = k^{-p/2-n}\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^n)$. So in Theorem 3.1, the family $(k^{-\ell}E^k \otimes \sigma_\ell)$ is in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\ell})$ if ℓ is non negative and in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{\ell/2})$ if ℓ is negative, cf. [Cha14] Sections 2.2 and 2.3 for more details.

In the Kähler case, that is when j is integrable and L, A are holomorphic line bundles, we can define $\mathcal{H}_k$ as the space of holomorphic sections of A_k. The corresponding kernel B_k is called the Bergman kernel. The asymptotic of B_k given in Theorem 3.1 has been deduced in [Cha03] (Corollary 1) from the seminal paper [BdMS76]. A direct proof has been given in [BBS08], cf. also [MM07] and [SZ02] for similar results. In this case, we can even choose E in such a way that the σ_ℓ's with negative ℓ are identically null.

In the general symplectic case, the spaces $\mathcal{H}_k$ are defined in such a way that B_k admits an asymptotic expansion of this form. The existence of such a quantization has been proved in [Cha14] using the ideas of [BdMG81], cf. also [MM07] and [SZ02] for similar results. In the construction proposed in [Cha14], we start with any sections E and σ_0 satisfying the asumptions of Theorem 3.1. We assume also that $\overline{\sigma}_0(x, y) = \sigma_0(y, x)$, so that the operator P_k with Schwartz kernel $\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n E^k \sigma_0$ is self-adjoint. One proves that the spectrum P_k concentrates onto 0 and 1, in the sense that

$$\mathrm{spec}(P_k) \subset [-Ck^{-1/2}, Ck^{-1/2}] \cup [1 - Ck^{-1/2}, 1 + Ck^{-1/2}] \, ,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of k. Furthermore, for any k, $\mathrm{spec}(P_k) \cap [1 - Ck^{-1/2}, 1 + Ck^{-1/2}]$ consists of a finite number of eigenvalues, each having a finite multiplicity. We define $\mathcal{H}_k$ as the sum of the corresponding eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_k := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{spec}(P_k) \cap [1 - Ck^{-1/2}, 1 + Ck^{-1/2}]} \ker(P_k - \lambda) \, .$$

Then one proves that the corresponding kernel has the expected behaviour.

3.2 Berezin-Toeplitz operators, (P1) and (P4)

Consider a family $(\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^k \otimes A),\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfying the conditions of theorem 3.1. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}^0(M)$, define the Toeplitz operator

$$T_k(f) := \Pi_k f : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_k \ .$$

Here f stands for the multiplication operator by f. Furthermore Π_k is the orthogonal projector of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_k$. So for any orthonormal basis $(e_{k,i},\ i = 1, \ldots, N_k)$ of $\mathcal{H}_k$, $\Pi_k(\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \langle \Psi, e_{k,i} \rangle e_{k,i}$.

Note that the operators $T_k(f)$ are positive and $T_k(1) = \mathbb{1}$. Therefore $T_k(f)$ can be interpreted as $\int f\ dG_k$, where G_k is a positive operator valued measure on M.

We shall show that this construction satisfies properties (P1)-(P4) of Theorem 1.1. Let us start with the norm and trace correspondences, since their proofs are very short.

For the estimation of the norm, we will use special vectors of $\mathcal{H}_k$ called coherent states. Let B_k be the kernel corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_k$. Let $x \in M$ and u, v be unitary vectors of L_x and A_x respectively. Let Ψ_k be the section of $L^k \otimes A$ defined by

$$\Psi_k(y) = B_k(y, x) \cdot (u^k \otimes v), \qquad \forall y \in M \ , \tag{24}$$

where the dot stands for the contractions $A_{k,y} \otimes \overline{A}_{k,x} \otimes A_{k,x} \to A_{k,y}$ induced by the metrics of L and A. By (22), Ψ_k belongs to $\mathcal{H}_k$. Furthermore

$$\|\Psi_k\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,i}(x)|^2 = B_k(x, x) \ , \tag{25}$$

where we view $B_k(x, x)$ as a number as explained after Theorem 3.1. We deduce from Theorem 3.1 that $\|\Psi_k\|^2 \sim (k/2\pi)^n$. When k is sufficiently large, we set $\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}} = \Psi_k / \|\Psi_k\|$.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$ whose x is a critical point, we have for any k*

$$\left\| T_k(f)\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}} - f(x)\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}} \right\| \leqslant \alpha k^{-1} |f|_2 \ .$$

Furthermore α does not depend on x, u and v.

Applying this to a point x where $|f|$ attains its maximum, we deduce that the spectrum of $T_k(f)$ intersects $\|f\| + \alpha k^{-1}|f|_2[-1,1]$. This implies the property $(P1)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda = f(x)$. Let (U, y_i) be a coordinate system centered at x. Let V be a relatively compact open neighborhood of x contained in U. Write $\delta = \sum y_i^2$. Then if x is a critical point of f, we have

$$|f(y) - \lambda| \leqslant C_1 |f|_2 \delta(y) \qquad \forall y \in V \ , \tag{26}$$

where C_1 does not depend on y and f. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\int_V |\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}|^2 \delta^2 \mu = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \ , \qquad \int_{M \setminus V} |\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}|^2 \mu = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \ . \tag{27}$$

Indeed, we can adapt the standard proof of the Kähler case as follows. Recall that $\|\Psi_k\|^2 \sim (k/2\pi)^n$. Furthermore there exists $0 < r < 1$, such that for any $y \in M \setminus V$, we have $|E(y,x)| \leqslant r$. The second estimate of (27) follows easily from Theorem 3.1. For the first one, we use that there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that for any $y \in V$, $|E(y,x)| \leqslant e^{-\delta(y)/C_2}$. So by Theorem 3.1, there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}(y)| \leqslant k^{n/2} C_3 e^{-k\delta(y)/C_2}, \qquad \forall y \in V$$

on U. Write $\mu = g dy_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_{2n}$ and let $C_4 > 0$ be such that $|g| \leqslant C_4$ on V. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}|^2 \delta^2 \mu &\leqslant k^n C_3^2 C_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-2k|u|^2/C_2} |u|^4 \, du \\ &= k^{-2} C_3^2 C_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-2|u|^2/C_2} |u|^4 \, du \ . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first equation of (27).

Now, using Equations (27), (26) and the fact that $|f(y) - \lambda| \leqslant 2|f|_2$ on M, we obtain that

$$\|(f - \lambda)\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}\|^2 = \int_M |f(y) - \lambda|^2 |\Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}(y)|^2 \mu(y) \leqslant C k^{-2} |f|_2^2$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of f. Since $\|\Pi_k\|_{op} \leqslant 1$, it follows that

$$\|\Pi_k f \Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}} - \lambda \Psi_k^{\mathrm{n}}\| \leqslant \alpha k^{-1} |f|_2 \ ,$$

where $\alpha = C^{1/2}$. The fact that α may be chosen independently of x, u and v, follows from the compactness of M. □

Let us prove property (P4).

Proposition 3.3. *For any k, there exists a sequence $(\rho(\cdot,k))$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^0(M)$*

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_k(f)) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^n \int_M f\rho(\cdot,k)\mu \ ,$$

where μ is the Liouville volume. Furthermore, $\rho(\cdot,k) = 1+\mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ uniformly on M.

Proof. Denote by h_k the metric of A_k. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}(T_k(f)) &= \sum_i \langle f e_{k,i}, e_{k,i}\rangle = \sum_i \int_M f(x) h_k(e_{k,i}(x), e_{k,i}(x))\mu(x) \\ &= \int_M f(x) B_k(x,x)\mu(x) \ ,\end{aligned}$$

where we identify $B_k(x,x)$ with a number as previously. By Theorem 3.1, we know that $B_k(x,x) = \big(k/2\pi\big)^n \rho(x,k)$, where $\rho(\cdot,k)$ has the asymptotic expansion $1 + k^{-1}\sigma_1(x,x) + k^{-2}\sigma_2(x,x) + \ldots$. □

3.3 Proof of sharp remainder estimates, (P2) and (P3)

3.3.1 Kostant-Souriau operators

Let us introduce a covariant derivative ∇^A of A. We denote by ∇^k the covariant derivative of $L^k \otimes A$ induced by ∇^A and ∇. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$ and denote by X its Hamiltonian vector field. [b] The Kostant-Souriau operator associated to f acting on sections of $L^k \otimes A$ is given by

$$H_k(f) = f + \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_X \ . \tag{28}$$

It was discovered independently by Kostant [Ko70] and Souriau [Sou70] that when A is the trivial line bundle and ∇^A the de Rham derivative, H_k satisfies an exact correspondence principle. For a general pair A, ∇_A, we have for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$

$$[H_k(f), H_k(g)] = \tfrac{1}{ik} H_k(\{f,g\}) + \tfrac{1}{k^2}\Omega_A(X,Y) \ , \tag{29}$$

[b] In this paper the Hamiltonian vector field X_f of a function f is defined by $i_{X_f}\omega = +df$, and the Poisson bracket is given by $\{f,g\} = -\omega(X_f, X_g)$.

where Ω_A is the curvature of ∇^A.

For any k, let $\mathcal{L}^2(M, A_k)$ be the Hilbert space defined as the completion of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \rangle$. It is easy to see that when f is of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, the commutator $[H_k(f), \Pi_k] : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, A_k) \to \mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$ extends continuously to a bounded operator of $\mathcal{L}^2(M, A_k)$, cf. Section 4.1.1. When f is smooth, it was proved in [Cha14] that the norm of $[H_k(f), \Pi_k]$ is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$. We will extend this to functions of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ and prove that the $\mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ only depend on the $\mathcal{C}^2$ norm of f.

Theorem 3.4. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left\| [H_k(f), \Pi_k] \right\|_{op} \leqslant C k^{-1} |f|_2 \, .$$

The proof will be given in Section 4. It is a consequence of Theorem 3.1. Denote by $T_k^c(f)$ the operator

$$T_k^c(f) = \Pi_k H_k(f) : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_k \, . \tag{30}$$

The superscript c stands for correction. Surprisingly, we only need to assume f and g of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ to get the sharp correspondence principle for T_k^c.

Proposition 3.5. *We have for any f and g in $\mathcal{C}^2(M)$,*

$$[T_k^c(f), T_k^c(g)] = \tfrac{1}{ki} T_k^c(\{f, g\}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) |f|_2 |g|_2 \, . \tag{31}$$

Here it is implicitly meant that the $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$'s do not depend on f or g. More precisely, the $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ is a term whose uniform norm is $\leq C k^{-2}$, where C depends only on the family $(\mathcal{H}_k)$, but NOT on f or g. We use the same convention in the sequel.

Proof. We check by a straightforward computation that

$$\Pi_k [\Pi_k, H_k(f)][\Pi_k, H_k(g)]\Pi_k = T_k^c(f) T_k^c(g) - \Pi_k H_k(f) H_k(g) \Pi_k \, .$$

By Theorem 3.4, the left hand side is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})|f|_2 g|_2$. So we have that

$$[T_k^c(f), T_k^c(g)] = \Pi_k [H_k(f), H_k(g)] \Pi_k + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) |f|_2 |g|_2 \, .$$

Using Kostant-Souriau formula (29) and the fact that $\Pi_k \Omega_A(X, Y) \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(1)|f|_1 |g|_1$, we get (31). □

3.3.2 Kähler case

We assume in this section that (M, ω, j) is a Kähler manifold, L, A are holomorphic Hermitian line bundles over M, and the connections ∇ and ∇^A are the Chern connections. Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}_k$ is the space of holomorphic sections of A_k.

Lemma 3.6. *For any vector field X of M of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, we have*

$$\Pi_k \nabla^k_X \Pi_k = -\Pi_k \operatorname{div}(Z) \Pi_k \ ,$$

where $Z = \frac{1}{2}(X - ijX)$ and $\operatorname{div}(Z)$ is the divergence of Z with respect to the Liouville form.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_k$ consists of holomorphic sections and $\overline{Z}$ is a section of $T^{0,1}M$, $\Pi_k \nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} \Pi_k = 0$. Since Z is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, the integral $\int \mathcal{L}_Z(f\mu)$ vanishes for any smooth function f. We obtain that for any s, $t \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, A_k)$,

$$\langle \nabla_Z s, t\rangle + \langle s, \nabla_{\overline{Z}} t\rangle + \langle (\operatorname{div} Z)s, t\rangle = 0.$$

Applying this to s, $t \in \mathcal{H}_k$, we deduce that $\Pi_k(\nabla_Z + \operatorname{div} Z)\Pi_k = 0$. Consequently

$$\Pi_k \nabla_X \Pi_k = \Pi_k \nabla_Z \Pi_k + \Pi_k \nabla_{\overline{Z}} \Pi_k = -\Pi_k \operatorname{div}(Z) \Pi_k \ ,$$

which was to be proved. □

When X is the Hamiltonian vector field of $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have $\operatorname{div} X = 0$ so that $\operatorname{div}(Z) = i/2 \operatorname{div}(jX) = i\Delta f$ where Δ is the holomorphic Laplacian. We deduce Tuynman's formula [Tuy87]:

$$\Pi_k i \nabla_X \Pi_k = \Pi_k (\Delta f) \Pi_k \ . \tag{32}$$

Recall that $T_k(f)$ is the Toeplitz operator $\Pi_k f : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_k$. By (32), we have

$$T^c_k(f) = T_k(f) + \tfrac{1}{k} T_k(\Delta f) = T_k(f) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f|_2 \ . \tag{33}$$

Let us prove the T_k satisfies the quasi-multiplicativity (P3).

Proposition 3.7. *For any functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(f)T_k(g) &= T_k(fg) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_0|g|_2 + |f|_1|g|_1) \ , \\ T_k(g)T_k(f) &= T_k(fg) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_0|g|_2 + |f|_1|g|_1) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let Y be the Hamiltonian vector field of g. We have

$$\Pi_k f[\Pi_k, H_k(g)]\Pi_k = T_k(f)T_k(g) - T_k(fg) + \Pi_k f \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Y^k \Pi_k - \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_{fY}^k \Pi_k \ .$$

By Theorem 3.4, the left hand side is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f|_0|g|_2$. By Lemma 3.6, $\Pi_k \frac{i}{k}\nabla_Y^k \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|Y|_1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_k f \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Y^k \Pi_k &= \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f|_0|g|_2 \ , \\ \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_{fY}^k \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|fY|_1 &= \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_0|g|_2 + |f|_1|g|_1) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

which concludes the proof of the first equation. To get the second one, we take the adjoint. □

Finally let us show the sharp correspondence principle (P2).

Proposition 3.8. *We have for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^3(M)$,*

$$[T_k(f), T_k(g)] = \tfrac{1}{ki} T_k(\{f, g\}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1|g|_3 + |f|_2|g|_2 + |f|_3|g|_1) \ .$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, we have that for any $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, $[T_k(u), T_k(v)] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|u|_0|v|_2 + |u|_1|v|_1)$. Consequently,

$$[T_k(\Delta f), T_k(g)] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_2|g|_2 + |f|_3|g|_1) \ .$$

Similarly,

$$[T_k(f), T_k(\Delta g)] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_1|g|_3 + |f|_2|g|_2) \ .$$

Using that $T_k(u) = \mathcal{O}(1)|u|_0$, we have

$$[T_k(\Delta f), T_k(\Delta g)] = \mathcal{O}(1)|f|_2|g|_2 \ , \qquad T_k(\Delta\{f, g\}) = \mathcal{O}(1)|f, g|_3 \ .$$

We conclude with Proposition 3.5 by using $T_k^c(f) = T_k(f) + \frac{1}{k}T_k(\Delta f)$. □

3.3.3 Symplectic case

Let us return to the general symplectic case. We do not know how to generalize Lemma 3.6. Instead we will use the following result.

Lemma 3.9. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $Z \in \mathcal{C}^0(M, T^{1,0}M)$,*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \left\| \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Z^k \Pi_k \right\|_{op} \leqslant C k^{-1} |Z|_0 \ , \tag{35}$$

and if Z is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, $[H_k(f), \frac{i}{k}\nabla_Z^k \Pi_k] : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, A_k) \to \mathcal{C}^0(M, A_k)$ extends continuously to a bounded operator of $\mathcal{L}^2(M, A_k)$ satisfying

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \left\| [H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Z^k \Pi_k] \right\|_{op} \leqslant C k^{-2} (|f|_2|Z|_0 + |f|_1|Z|_1) \ . \tag{36}$$

The proof will be given in Section 4. A consequence of the first inequality is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *We have for any $X \in \mathcal{C}^1(M, TM)$ that*

$$\Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_X^k \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|X|_1 \, .$$

Proof. Write $X = Z + \overline{Z}$ with Z a section of $T^{1,0}M$. By (35), we have

$$\Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_Z^k \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|Z|_0 \, . \tag{37}$$

Taking the adjoint, we get $\Pi_k \frac{i}{k}(\nabla_Z^k + \operatorname{div} Z)\Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|Z|_0$. Since $\operatorname{div} Z$ is a $\mathcal{O}(|Z|_1)$ in uniform norm, we obtain

$$\Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_Z^k \Pi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|Z|_1 \, . \tag{38}$$

Adding (37) and (38), we get the result. □

As a consequence, we have

$$T_k(f) = T_k^c(f) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f|_2 \, . \tag{39}$$

We also deduce the quasi-multiplicativity (P3).

Proposition 3.11. *For any functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(f)T_k(g) &= T_k(fg) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_0|g|_2 + |f|_1|g|_1) \, , \\ T_k(g)T_k(f) &= T_k(fg) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_0|g|_2 + |f|_1|g|_1) \, . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the one of Proposition 3.7 except that we deduce Equations (34) from Lemma 3.10 instead of Lemma 3.6. □

Finally we show the sharp correspondence principle (P2).

Proposition 3.12. *For any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^3(M)$,*

$$[T_k(f), T_k(g)] = \tfrac{1}{ki} T_k(\{f, g\}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1|g|_3 + |f|_2|g|_2 + |f|_3|g|_1) \, .$$

Proof. Denote by X and Y the Hamiltonian vector fields of f and g. By (39), we have $T_k^c(\{f, g\}) = T_k(\{f, g\}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f, g|_3$. By Lemma 3.10,

$$[\Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_X^k \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_Y^k \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})|f|_2|g|_2 \, .$$

So by Proposition 3.5, it suffices to show that

$$[\Pi_k f \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_Y^k \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |g|_3 + |f|_2 |g|_2) \, . \tag{40}$$

Write $Y = Z + \overline{Z}$ with Z a section of $T^{1,0}M$. Doing a straightforward computation, we obtain

$$[\Pi_k H_k(f) \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^k \Pi_k] = \Pi_k [H_k(f), \Pi_k] \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^k \Pi_k + \Pi_k [H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^k \Pi_k] \Pi_k \, .$$

By Theorem 3.4 and Equation (35), the first term of the left hand side is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) |f|_2 |Z|_0$. By (36), the second term is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |Z|_1 + |f|_2 |Z|_0)$. Consequently,

$$[\Pi_k H_k(f) \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^k \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |Z|_1 + |f|_2 |Z|_0) \, . \tag{41}$$

Using Lemma 3.10 and Equation (35), we deduce from (41) that

$$[\Pi_k f \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^k \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |Z|_1 + |f|_2 |Z|_0) \, . \tag{42}$$

Taking the adjoint, we get

$$[\Pi_k f \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} (\nabla_Z^k + \operatorname{div} Z) \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |Z|_1 + |f|_2 |Z|_0) \, . \tag{43}$$

By Proposition 3.11, $[T_k(f), T_k(v)] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})(|f|_1 |v|_1 + |f|_2 |v|_0)$ for any function $v \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$. Applying this to $v = \operatorname{div} Z$, we deduce from (43) that

$$[\Pi_k f \Pi_k, \Pi_k \tfrac{i}{k} \nabla_Z^k \Pi_k] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_1 |Z|_1 + |f|_2 |Z|_0) \, . \tag{44}$$

Finally, Equation (40) follows from Equations (42) and (44). □

4 Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.9

4.1 Schur test and consequences

4.1.1 Schur test

In this section, M can be any closed manifold endowed with a volume form μ and a Hermitian line bundle $B \to M$. Then to any continuous section K of $B \boxtimes \overline{B} \to M^2$, we associate an endomorphism P of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ given by

$$(P\Psi)(x) = \int_M K(x, y) \cdot \Psi(y) \mu(y) \, .$$

Here the dot stands for the contraction $B_y \times \overline{B}_y \to \mathbb{C}$ induced by the metric of B. K is uniquely determined by P and is called the Schwartz kernel of P. The space of sections of B has a natural scalar product given by integrating the pointwise scalar product against μ. We denote by $\|\psi\| = \langle \psi, \psi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the corresponding norm for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ and by $\|P\|_{op}$ the corresponding operator norm

$$\|P\|_{op} = \sup \frac{\|Ps\|}{\|s\|} ,$$

where s runs over the non vanishing continuous section of B. The basic estimate we need is the Schur test, cf. for instance [HS78], Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 4.1. *Let P be the endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ with Schwartz kernel $K \in \mathcal{C}^0(M^2, B \boxtimes \overline{B})$. Let C_1, C_2 be the non negative real numbers given by*

$$C_1 = \sup_{x \in M} \int_M |K(x, \cdot)| \mu , \quad C_2 = \sup_{y \in M} \int_M |K(\cdot, y)| \mu .$$

Then $\|P\|_{op}^2 \leqslant C_1 C_2$.

We will also need the following easy properties. Let ∇ be a Hermitian connection of B. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}^1(M^2, B \otimes \overline{B})$ be the kernel of P. Then for any continuous vector field X, the kernel of $\nabla_X \circ P$ is $(\nabla_X \boxtimes \mathrm{id})P$. If furthermore X is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, then the operator $P \circ \nabla_X : \mathcal{C}^1(M, B) \to \mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ extends to the bounded operator of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ with kernel $-(\mathrm{id} \boxtimes (\nabla_X + \operatorname{div} X))K$. Here the divergence is defined by the equality: $\mathcal{L}_X \mu = \operatorname{div}(X)\mu$.

Consider a finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$. Denote by Π the orthogonal projector from $\mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ onto $\mathcal{H}$. If $(e_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, N)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$, then $\Pi(\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \Psi, e_i \rangle e_i$. Consider any endomorphism T of $\mathcal{H}$. Then observe that $T\Pi : \mathcal{C}^0(M, B) \to \mathcal{C}^0(M, B)$ has a Schwartz kernel in $\mathcal{C}^0(M^2, B \boxtimes \overline{B})$ given by

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N (Te_i)(x) \otimes \overline{e}_i(y) .$$

In particular, the Schwartz kernel of Π is the kernel associated to $\mathcal{H}$ introduced in (22). Finally observe that T and $T\Pi$ have the same operator norm. Abusing notation, we will sometimes identify T and $T\Pi$.

4.1.2 The fundamental estimates

Consider a section E satisfying the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.1. The existence of such a section is proved in Lemma 3.2 of [Cha14]. Let U be the open set where E does not vanish. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ and $\alpha_E \in \Omega^1(U)$ be defined by

$$\varphi = -2 \ln |E| \,, \qquad \nabla^{L\boxtimes\overline{L}} E = \tfrac{1}{i} \alpha_E \otimes E \,. \tag{45}$$

Here $\nabla^{L\boxtimes\overline{L}}$ is the connection of $L \boxtimes \overline{L}$ induced by ∇. So for any vector fields X and Y of M, $\nabla^{L\boxtimes\overline{L}}_{(X,Y)} = \nabla_X \boxtimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \boxtimes \overline{\nabla}_Y$, where (X, Y) is the vector field of M^2 sending (p, q) into $X(p) \oplus Y(q)$.

By Theorem 3.1, φ vanishes along the diagonal Δ of M^2 and is positive outside Δ. Furthermore, φ and α_E satisfy the following properties

(i) α_E vanishes on $T_\Delta(M^2)$;

(ii) φ vanishes to second order along Δ. For any $x \in M$, the kernel of the Hessian of φ at (x, x) is the tangent space to the diagonal;

(iii) for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ with Hamiltonian vector field X, $g + \alpha_E(X, X)$ vanishes to second order along Δ, where $g(x, y) = f(x) - f(y)$.

For a proof of these properties, cf. Proposition 2.15, Remark 2.16 and Proposition 2.18 of [Cha14].

For any continuous section σ of $A \boxtimes \overline{A}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $P_k(\sigma)$ be the operator acting on $\mathcal{C}^0(M, L^k \otimes A)$ with Schwartz kernel $k^n E^k \otimes \sigma$. Here and in the sequel, μ is the Liouville form $\omega^n/n!$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any compact subset K of U, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{K,p}$ such that for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^0(M^2, A \boxtimes \overline{A})$ whose support is contained in K, we have*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \|P_k(\sigma)\|_{op} \leqslant C_{K,p} |\sigma|_{K,p} k^{-p/2} \,,$$

where $|\sigma|_{K,p} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ is the supremum of $|\sigma(z)|(\varphi(z))^{-p/2}$ over $K \setminus \Delta(M)$.

Proof. Let (V, x_i) be a coordinate system of M such that $V^2 \subset U$. Assume first that $K \subset V^2$. By property (ii) and the fact that φ is positive outside the diagonal, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|x - y|^2/C \leqslant \varphi(x, y) \leqslant C|x - y|^2 \tag{46}$$

on K. If the support of σ is contained in K, we obtain that $|\sigma(x,y)| \leqslant C^{p/2}|\sigma|_{K,p}|x-y|^p$ on V^2. Identify V with an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \big|P_k(\sigma)(x,y)\big|\mu(y) &\leqslant k^n C^{p/2}|\sigma|_{K,p}\int_V e^{-k|x-y|^2/C}|x-y|^p dx \\ &\leqslant k^n C^{p/2}|\sigma|_{K,p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-k|x-y|^2/C}|x-y|^p dx \\ &= k^{-p/2}C^{p/2}|\sigma|_{K,p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-|x-y|^2/C}|x-y|^p dx\end{aligned}$$

(by doing a convenient change of variable)

$$= k^{-p/2}C_1|\sigma|_{K,p}$$

In the same way we show that

$$\int_M \big|P_k(\sigma)(x,y)\big|\mu(x) \leqslant k^{-p/2}C_2|\sigma|_{K,p}$$

for some $C_2 > 0$ independent of σ and k. We conclude by applying Proposition 4.1 that

$$\|P_k(\sigma)\|_{op} \leqslant C|\sigma|_{K,p}k^{-p/2}$$

with $C = \max(C_1, C_2)$.

Consider now any compact subset K of U. Let $(V_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite family of open set of M such that each V_i is the domain of a coordinate system and $K \subset \cup V_i^2 \subset U$. Then M^2 is covered by the open sets $M^2 \setminus K$, V_i^2, $i \in I$. Choose a subordinate partition of unity f, f_i, $i \in I$. If σ is supported in K, we have $\sigma = \sum f_i\sigma$. Furthermore by the first part of the proof,

$$\|P_k(f_i\sigma)\|_{op} \leqslant C_i|f_i\sigma|_{\operatorname{supp} f_i,p}k^{-p/2} \leqslant C_i|\sigma|_{K,p}k^{-p/2}$$

for some constants $C_i > 0$. □

Recall that we denote by $H_k(f)$ the Kostant Souriau operator (28).

Lemma 4.3. *For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M^2, A \boxtimes \overline{A})$ supported in U and vanishing to order p along the diagonal, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have*

$$\|P_k(\sigma)\|_{op} \leqslant Ck^{-p/2}, \qquad \big\|[H_k(f), P_k(\sigma)]\big\|_{op} \leqslant Ck^{-p/2-1}|f|_2\ .$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 4.2. Set $K = \operatorname{supp}\sigma$. Using Property (ii) as in Equation (46), if follows from Taylor formula that $|\sigma|_{K,p}$ is finite, which proves the first estimate.

To prove the second one, we introduce $g(x,y) = f(x) - f(y)$ and the vector field $Y = (X, X)$ of M^2, where X is the Hamiltonian vector field of f. Then we have on U

$$\big[(f + i\nabla_X) \boxtimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \boxtimes (f - i\nabla_X)\big] E = (g + \alpha_E(Y))E \ .$$

Consequently,

$$[H_k(f), P_k(\sigma)] = P_k\big((g + \alpha_E(Y))\sigma\big) + \tfrac{i}{k} P_k\big(\nabla_Y^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma\big) \ . \tag{47}$$

We claim that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(M)$, we have

$$|g + \alpha_E(Y)| \leqslant C\varphi |f|_2 \tag{48}$$

on K. This has the consequence that $|(g + \alpha_E(Y))\sigma|_{K,p+2} \leqslant C|f|_2|\sigma|_{K,p}$. So by Lemma 4.2, the first term of the right hand side of (47) is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-p/2-1})|f|_2$. To prove Equation (48), introduce a coordinate system (V, x_i) such that the closure of V is a compact subset of U. We have on V^2

$$g(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g_i(x)(y_i - x_i) + \mathcal{O}(\varphi)|f|_2 \ ,$$

for some functions $g_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(V)$. Similarly, by Property (i), α_E vanishes along the diagonal Δ, thus

$$\alpha_E(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} h_i(x)(y_i - x_i) + \mathcal{O}(\varphi)|X|_0 \ .$$

By Property (iii), $(g + \alpha_E(Y))$ vanishes to second order along Δ, so for any i, $g_i(x) + h_i(x) = 0$. Equation (48) follows.

We will show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any vector field Z of M^2 tangent to Δ,

$$|\nabla_Z^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma| \leqslant C\varphi^{p/2}|Z|_1 \ . \tag{49}$$

By Lemma 4.2, this has the consequence that the second term of the right hand side of (47) is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-p/2-1})|f|_2$, which concludes the proof.

Let us prove (49). We denote by $\mathcal{O}(N)$ any section vanishing to order N along the diagonal. Observe that for any vector field Z of M^2, $\nabla_Z^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma$ is a $\mathcal{O}(p-1)$. Whenever Z is tangent to Δ, $\nabla_Z^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}$ is a $\mathcal{O}(p)$. So if (V, x_i) is a coordinate system as above,

$$\nabla^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n}(dy_i - dx_i)\otimes a_i + dx_i\otimes b_i\ ,$$

where $a_i = \nabla_{\partial_{y_i}}^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma$ is a $\mathcal{O}(p-1)$ and $b_i = \nabla_{\partial_{x_i}+\partial_{y_i}}^{A\boxtimes\overline{A}}\sigma$ is a $\mathcal{O}(p)$.

Now there exists $C' > 0$ such that for any vector field Z of M^2 tangent to Δ of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and supported in V^2, we have

$$|(dy_i - dx_i)(Z)| \leqslant C'\varphi^{1/2}|Z|_1\ , \qquad |dx_i(Z)| \leqslant C'|Z|_0\ .$$

This proves (49) for the vector fields supported in V^2. We prove the general case with a partition of unity argument. □

4.2 The proof

Recall that we denote by B_k the Schwartz kernel of Π_k. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M\times M, \mathbb{R})$ be equal to 1 on a neighborhood of the diagonal and supported in U. Let R_k be the operator with Schwartz kernel $(1-\psi)B_k$. Introduce the same family $(\sigma_\ell, \ell\in\mathbb{Z})$ as in Theorem 3.1. For any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, introduce the operator $R_{m,k}$ so that

$$\Pi_k = (2\pi)^{-n}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\cap[-m,m/2]} k^{-\ell}P_k(\psi\sigma_\ell) + R_k + R_{m,k}\ . \tag{50}$$

We will prove that when m is sufficiently large, each term of the right hand side of (50) satisfies for any vector field $Z\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, T^{1,0}M)$

$$\begin{gathered}\big[H_k(f), Q_k\big] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})|f|_2, \qquad \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Z^k Q_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})\ ,\\ \big[H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla_Z^k Q_k\big] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})|f|_2\ .\end{gathered} \tag{51}$$

After that, we will prove that the second and third estimates actually hold for any Z continuous, respectively of class $\mathcal{C}^1$; and we will explicit the dependence in Z of the $\mathcal{O}$.

By Theorem 3.1, we know that for any negative ℓ, σ_ℓ vanishes to order ℓ along the diagonal. Furthermore the Schwartz kernel of R_k is uniformly a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$ for any N. The Schwartz kernel of $R_{m,k}$ is uniformly a $\mathcal{O}(k^{n-(m+1)/2})$. Finally, each term in the right hand side of (50) has a Schwartz kernel in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^n)$.

The principal terms

For any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $Q_{\ell,k} = k^{-\ell} P_k(\psi\sigma_\ell)$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$[H_k(f), Q_{\ell,k}] = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(k^{-\ell-1})|f|_2 \text{ if } \ell \geqslant 0 \,, \\ \mathcal{O}(k^{\ell/2-1})|f|_2 \text{ if } \ell \leqslant 0 \,. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

This proves that $Q_{\ell,k}$ satisfies the first estimate of (51). To prove the other ones, we use that

$$\tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_{\ell,k} = P_k(\alpha_E(\overline{Z}, 0)\psi\sigma_\ell) + \tfrac{i}{k} P_k((\nabla^A_{\overline{Z}} \boxtimes \mathrm{id})\psi\sigma_\ell\big) \,, \tag{53}$$

where α_E has been introduced in (45). By Theorem 3.1, $\alpha_E(\overline{Z}, 0)$ vanishes to second order along the diagonal. By Lemma 4.3, it comes that

$$\tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_{\ell,k} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(k^{-\ell-1}) \text{ if } \ell \geqslant 0 \,, \\ \mathcal{O}(k^{\ell/2-1}) \text{ if } \ell \leqslant 0 \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

and

$$\big[H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_{\ell,k}\big] = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(k^{-\ell-2})|f|_2 \text{ if } \ell \geqslant 0 \,, \\ \mathcal{O}(k^{\ell/2-2})|f|_2 \text{ if } \ell \leqslant 0 \,, \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

which concludes the proof of (51) for $Q_k = Q_{k,\ell}$.

The remainders

Denote by $B'_k = (1-\psi)B_k$ and $B_{m,k}$ the Schwartz kernels of R_k and $R_{m,k}$ respectively. Let ∇^k be the connection of $A_k \boxtimes \overline{A}_k$ induced by the connections of A and L.

Lemma 4.4. *For any smooth vector fields X_1, X_2 of M^2, we have for any N*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^k_{X_1} B'_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-N}), \qquad & \nabla^k_{X_1}\nabla^k_{X_2} B'_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-N}) \,, \\ \nabla^k_{X_1} B_{m,k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{n-(m-3)/4}), \qquad & \nabla^k_{X_1}\nabla^k_{X_2} B_{m,k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{n-(m-15)/8}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on M^2.

Proof. The key of the proof is the following interpolation formula. Let V be an open set $\mathbb{R}^m$ and K be a compact subset of V. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any smooth function f on V, we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha f| \leqslant C\Big(\mathrm{Sup}_U\, |f|\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sup_V |f| + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \sup_V |\partial^\alpha f|\Big)^{1/2}\,.$$

A proof may be found [Shu78], Lemma 3.2. Using that B'_k and $B_{m,k}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_\infty(k^n)$, $B'_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$ for any N and $B_{m,k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{n-(m+1)/2})$, we get the result. □

By using the explicit form of the Schwartz kernels of $[H_k(f), Q_k]$, $\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k$ and $[H_k(f), \nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k]$ in terms of the Schwartz kernel of Q_k, we deduce from Lemma 4.4 that when m is sufficiently large, R_k and $R_{m,k}$ satisfy (51) for smooth f (so far, without specifying the dependence of the $\mathcal{O}$'s on f).

To finish the proof, we use the following fact. Consider any family $(\tau_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M^2, A_k \boxtimes \overline{A}_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ and assume that there exists $N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any smooth vector field X of M^2, we have

$$\nabla^k_X \tau_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$$

uniformly on M^2. Then there exists $C > 0$, such that for any continuous vector field X,

$$|\nabla^k_X \tau_k| \leqslant C k^{-N} |X|_0 \tag{56}$$

on M^2. To prove that, we write X in local smooth frames and use that $\nabla^k_{X_1+X_2} = \nabla^k_{X_1} + \nabla^k_{X_2}$ and $\nabla^k_{gX} = g\nabla^k_X$. This proves (51) for $Q_k = R_k$ or $R_{m,k}$ with actually $|f|_1$ instead of $|f|_2$.

Dependence in Z

We claim that if $(Q_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfies the second estimate of (51) for any vector field $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, T^{1,0}M)$, then for any $Z \in \mathcal{C}^0(M, T^{1,0}M)$, we have

$$\tfrac{i}{k} \nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) |Z|_0\,.$$

The proof is the same as the one to get (56): write Z in local smooth frames of $T^{1,0}M$.

Similarly, assume that the second and third estimates of (51) hold for any smooth section of $T^{1,0}M$, then for any $Z \in \mathcal{C}^1(M, T^{1,0}M)$, we have

$$\big[H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k\big] = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})(|f|_2|Z|_0 + |f|_1|Z|_1) \; .$$

The proof is the same by using now that

$$\big[H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{g\overline{Z}} Q_k\big] = g\big[H_k(f), \tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k\big] + \tfrac{i}{k}(X.g)\tfrac{i}{k}\nabla^k_{\overline{Z}} Q_k \; ,$$

where X is the Hamiltonian vector field of f.

5 Bargmann space

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6 and the version (4) of (P2). It is sufficient to prove these estimates for $\hbar = 1$. Indeed, recall that for any $\hbar > 0$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_\hbar$ the Bargmann space and by $T_\hbar(f)$ the Toeplitz operator $\Pi_\hbar f : \mathcal{B}_\hbar \to \mathcal{B}_\hbar$. Then we have a Hilbert space isomorphism

$$U_\hbar : \mathcal{B}_\hbar \to \mathcal{B}_1, \qquad \xi \to \hbar^{n/2}\xi(\hbar^{1/2}\cdot).$$

We easily check that

$$T_\hbar(f) = U_\hbar^* T_1(f_\hbar) U_\hbar \; , \tag{57}$$

where $f_\hbar(x) = f(\hbar^{1/2}x)$. Using that $|f_\hbar|'_k = \hbar^{k/2}|f|'_k$, we see that Equation (4) and Theorem 1.6 with $\hbar = 1$ imply the same results for any $\hbar$.

Instead of $\mathcal{B}_1$, it will be more convenient to work with the closed subspace $\mathcal{B}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu)$ consisting of the functions ξ satisfying $\partial\xi/\partial\overline{z}_i = \frac{1}{2}z_i\xi$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are isomorphic Hilbert space through the unitary map $\xi \to \xi e^{-|z|^2/2}$. Furthermore, for any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, this unitary map conjugates $T_1(f)$ with $T(f) := \Pi f : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, where Π is the orthogonal projector of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu)$ onto $\mathcal{B}$. So our goal is to prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2N}_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^N_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have*

$$T(f)T(g) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (-1)^\ell \hbar^\ell \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\, |\alpha|=\ell} \frac{1}{\alpha!} T\big((\partial_z^\alpha f)(\partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha g)\big) + R_N(f,g) \; ,$$

where $\|R_N(f,g)\|_{op} \leqslant C_N \sum_{m=0}^N |f|'_{N+m}|g|'_{N-m}$.

It is well-known that the Schwartz kernel of Π is given by

$$\Pi(u,v) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|u|^2+|v|^2)+u\cdot\overline{v}}, \qquad u,v \in \mathbb{C}^n \ . \tag{58}$$

It satisfies the following two identities

$$|\Pi(u,v)| = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u-v|^2} \ , \tag{59}$$

$$\Pi(u,v)\Pi(v,w) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-(v-u)\cdot(\overline{v}-\overline{w})}\Pi(u,w) \ . \tag{60}$$

Let $W : \mathbb{C}^{4n} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the weight given by

$$W = 1 + |z_1 - z_2| + |z_2 - z_3| + |z_3 - z_4|.$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For any measurable function $g : \mathbb{C}^{4n} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|g|W^{-N}$ is bounded, introduce the function of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$:

$$K(g)(x_1,x_4) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} \Pi(x_1,x_2)\Pi(x_2,x_3)\Pi(x_3,x_4) g(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\ \mu(x_2)\mu(x_3) \ .$$

Lemma 5.2. *$K(g)$ is the Schwartz kernel of a bounded operator of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n,\mu)$. Its uniform norm satisfies*

$$\|K(g)\| \leqslant C_N \sup_{x\in\mathbb{C}^{4n}} \big(|g(x)|W^{-N}(x)\big)$$

for some constant C_N independent of g.

Proof. This follows from Schur test. Indeed, by (59), we have for any $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(g)(x_1,x_4)|\mu(x_4) \\
&\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{C}^{3n}} |\Pi(x_1,x_2)\Pi(x_2,x_3)\Pi(x_3,x_4)g(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)|\ \mu(x_2)\mu(x_3)\mu(x_4) \\
&\leqslant C_N \sup_{x\in\mathbb{C}^{4n}} \big(|g(x)|W^{-N}(x)\big) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_N is the constant

$$\begin{aligned}
C_N &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{3n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|x_1-x_2|^2+|x_2-x_3|^2+|x_3-x_4|^2)} W(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)^N\ \mu(x_2)\mu(x_3)\mu(x_4) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{3n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|y_1|^2+|y_2|^2+|y_3|^2)} (1+|y_1|+|y_2|+|y_3|)^N\ \mu(y_1)\mu(y_2)\mu(y_3) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(g)(x_1,x_4)|\mu(x_1) \leqslant C_N \sup_{x\in\mathbb{C}^{4n}} \big(|g(x)|W^{-N}(x)\big)$. ∎

Observe that for $f_1,\ f_2 \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $K(1 \boxtimes f_1 \boxtimes f_2 \boxtimes 1)$ is the product of Toeplitz operators $T(f_1)T(f_2)$. In particular $K(1 \boxtimes 1 \boxtimes f_2 \boxtimes 1) = T(f_2)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{C}^{4n})$ be such that $|g|W^{-N}$ and $|f|W^{-N}$ are bounded where f is any partial derivative of g. Then we have*

$$K\big((\overline{z}_{2,i} - \overline{z}_{3,i})g\big) = K\big(\partial g/\partial z_{2,i}\big)\ , \qquad K\big((z_{3,i} - z_{2,i})g\big) = K\big(\partial g/\partial \overline{z}_{3,i}\big)\ .$$

Proof. By (60), we have

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2,i}} + (\overline{z}_{2,i} - \overline{z}_{3,i})\Big)\big(\Pi(z_1, z_2)\Pi(z_2, z_3)\big) = 0\ ,$$
$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_{3,i}} + (z_{3,i} - z_{2,i})\Big)\big(\Pi(z_2, z_3)\Pi(z_3, z_4)\big) = 0\ .$$

And the result follows by integrating by part. □

Consider now $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{2N}_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We will compute $K(f(z_2)g(z_3))$ by replacing $f(z_2)$ by its Taylor expansion

$$f(z_2) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha|+|\beta|<2N} \frac{1}{\alpha!\beta!} f_{\alpha,\beta}(z_3)(z_2 - z_3)^\alpha(\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_3)^\beta + r_N(z_2, z_3)\ .$$

Here for any α, β, we denote by $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ the derivative $\partial_z^\alpha \partial_{\overline{z}}^\beta f$. Furthermore, for any $z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$|r_N(z_2, z_3)| \leqslant C'_N |f|'_{2N} \big(1 + |z_2 - z_3|\big)^{2N}$$

for some constant C'_N independent of f. By Lemma 5.2,

$$\|K(r_N(z_2, z_3)g(z_3))\| \leqslant C_{2N} C'_N |f|'_{2N} |g|'_0\ .$$

Denote by $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ the operator $K\big(f_{\alpha,\beta}(z_3)(z_2 - z_3)^\alpha(\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_3)^\beta g(z_3)\big)$. We have

$$T(f)T(g) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha|+|\beta|<2N} \frac{P_{\alpha,\beta}}{\alpha!\beta!} + K((r_N(z_2, z_3)g(z_3))\ .$$

In the sequel we say that $\beta \leqslant \alpha$ if for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $\beta(i) \leqslant \alpha(i)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let α, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 2N$. Then if $|\alpha| < N$ and $\beta \leqslant \alpha$, we have*

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\alpha!(-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|}}{(\alpha-\beta)!} T(\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\alpha-\beta}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g)) \tag{61}$$

and otherwise

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\| \leqslant C_N \sum_{m=0}^{N} |f|'_{N+m} |g|'_{N-m} \tag{62}$$

for some constant C_N independent of f and g.

Proof. First, if for some i we have $\beta(i) > \alpha(i)$, then by the first identity of Lemma 5.3, we have $P_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ and (62) is satisfied. Assume now that $\beta \leqslant \alpha$. By the first identity of Lemma 5.3,

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-\beta)!} K\big(f_{\alpha,\beta}(z_3)(z_2 - z_3)^{\alpha-\beta} g(z_3)\big) \, .$$

If $|\alpha| \geqslant N$, then $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha - \beta| = 2|\alpha| \geqslant 2N$, so we can find a multi-index $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| = 2N$ and $\gamma \leqslant \alpha - \beta$. By the second identity of Lemma 5.3, we have

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\alpha!(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{(\alpha-\beta)!} K\big((z_2 - z_3)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} \big(\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\gamma}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g)\big)(z_3)\big) \, .$$

Then expanding $\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\gamma}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g)$ and applying Lemma 5.2 with the weight W^{-2N}, we deduce that (62) is satisfied. Finally, assume that $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ and $\beta \leqslant \alpha$, so that $f_{\alpha,\beta}g$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{|\alpha-\beta|}$. Then by second identity of Lemma 5.3, we have

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\alpha!(-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|}}{(\alpha-\beta)!} K\big(\big(\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\alpha-\beta}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g)\big)(z_3)\big) \, ,$$

and we deduce (61). □

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have the following algebraic identity.

Lemma 5.5. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n,\, \beta \leqslant \alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|}}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \partial_{\overline{z}}^{\alpha-\beta}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g) = \frac{1}{\alpha!} f_{\alpha,0} g_{0,\alpha} \, .$$

Proof. Setting $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$, we have

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \beta\leqslant\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|}}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\alpha-\beta}(f_{\alpha,\beta}g) = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \gamma\leqslant\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{(\alpha-\gamma)!\gamma!}\partial_{\overline{z}}^{\gamma}(f_{\alpha,\alpha-\gamma}g)$$

$$= \sum_{\delta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \delta\leqslant\gamma\leqslant\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{(\alpha-\gamma)!\delta!(\gamma-\delta)!} f_{\alpha,\alpha-\delta}g_{0,\delta} = \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \delta\leqslant\alpha} \frac{1}{\delta!}C(\delta,\alpha)f_{\alpha,\alpha-\delta}g_{0,\delta}\ ,$$

where

$$C(\delta,\alpha) = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \delta\leqslant\gamma\leqslant\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{(\alpha-\gamma)!(\gamma-\delta)!}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \lambda\leqslant\alpha-\delta} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda-\delta|}}{(\alpha-\delta-\lambda)!\lambda!} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \text{ if } \alpha = \delta\ , \\ 0 \text{ otherwise}\ , \end{cases}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Let us explain now the proof of estimate (4). As for the proof of Theorem 1.6, we can assume that $\hbar = 1$ and work in $\mathcal{B}$ instead of $\mathcal{B}_1$. So we have to prove the following.

Theorem 5.6. *There exists β'' such that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^3_{\rm b}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have*

$$\Big\| \big[T(f), T(g)\big] - iT(\{f,g\}) \Big\|_{op} \leq \beta''\big(|f|'_1|g|'_3 + |f|'_2|g|'_2 + |f|'_3|g|'_1\big)\ .$$

Consider the trivial holomorphic line bundle L over $\mathbb{C}^n$ with canonical frame s. Define the metric of L so that $|s|^2(z) = e^{-|z|^2}$. Then the space $\mathcal{H}$ of holomorphic sections of L with finite L^2-norm is isomorphic with $\mathcal{B}_1$ by the map sending a function $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_1$ to the section $\xi s \in \mathcal{H}$. If we work with the unitary frame $t = e^{-|z|^2/2}s$ instead of s, we get an isomorphism between $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ by sending ξ to ξt. In the sequel we identify in this way $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{B}$ and more generally the space of continuous sections of L with $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

A straightforward computation shows that the Chern connection ∇ of L is given in terms of t by

$$\nabla t = \alpha \otimes t \qquad \text{with} \qquad \alpha = \frac{i}{2}\sum (z_i d\overline{z}_i - \overline{z}_i dz_i)\ .$$

The curvature is $\frac{1}{i}\omega$ with ω the symplectic form $\omega = i\sum dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i$. For any function $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$, introduce the Kostant-Souriau operator

$$H(f) = f + i\nabla_X\ ,$$

where X is the Hamiltonian vector field of f. It acts on functions from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by $H(f) = f + iX + i\alpha(X)$. One easily checks the Kostant-Souriau and Tuynman formulas

$$[H(f), H(g)] = \tfrac{1}{i} H(\{f, g\}) \;, \qquad f, g \in \mathcal{C}^1_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \;,$$
$$\Pi H(f) \Pi = T(f + \Delta f) \;, \qquad f \in \mathcal{C}^2_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \;,$$

where $\Delta = \sum \partial^2 / \partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_i$. Furthermore we have the following result similar to Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 5.7. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C})$, one has*

$$\big\| [H(f), \Pi] \big\|_{op} \leqslant C |f_2|' \;.$$

Proof. By a straightforward computation, one checks first that the Hamiltonian vector field X of f is given by $X = i \sum (\partial f / \partial z_i) \partial_{\overline{z}_i} - (\partial f / \partial \overline{z}_i) \partial_{z_i}$. Then the Schwartz kernel of $\nabla_X \circ \Pi$ is $i \sum (\overline{u}_i - \overline{v}_i)(\partial f / \partial \overline{z}_i)(u) \Pi(u, v)$. So the Schwartz kernel of the commutator $[H(f), \Pi]$ is $m(u, v) \Pi(u, v)$ with

$$m(u, v) = f(u) - f(v) - \sum (u_i - v_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(v) - \sum (\overline{u}_i - \overline{v}_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_i}(u) \;.$$

Replacing $f(u)$ by its Taylor expansion at v, we get that

$$|m(u, v)| \leqslant C |f|'_2 (1 + |u - v|^2)$$

for some constant C independent of f. Applying Schur test as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we conclude the proof. □

Now the proof of Theorem 5.6 is completely similar to the one of Proposition 3.8, where instead of Proposition 3.7 one uses directly Theorem 5.1 with $N = 1$.

Acknowledgments. We thank Yohann Le Floch, Nicolas Lerner, Stéphane Nonnenmacher, Johannes Sjöstrand, San Vũ Ngọc and Steve Zelditch for useful discussions.

References

[BMMP14] Barron, T., Ma, X., Marinescu, G., and Pinsonnault, M., *Semi-classical properties of Berezin-Toeplitz operators with C^k-symbol,* J. Math. Phys., 55(4):042108, 25, 2014.

[Ber75] Berezin, F., *General concept of quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **40** (1975), 153–174

[BBS08] Berman, R., Berndtsson, B., and Sjöstrand, J., *A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles,* Ark. Mat., **46** (2008), 197–217.

[BMS94] Bordemann, M., Meinrenken, E., and Schlichenmaier, M., *Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and* gl(N)*, $N \to \infty$ limits,* Comm. Math. Phys., **165** (1994), 281–296.

[BdMG81] Boutet de Monvel, L., and Guillemin, V., *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators*, vol. 99, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.

[BdMS76] Boutet de Monvel, L., and Sjöstrand, J., *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegő,* in *Journées: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles de Rennes (1975)*, pp. 123–164. Astérisque, No. 34–35. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.

[BLW14] Busch, P., Lahti, P., Werner R.F., *Quantum root-mean-square error and measurement uncertainty relations,* Reviews of Modern Physics **86** (2014), 1261–1281.

[Cha03] Charles, L., *Berezin-Toeplitz operators, a semi-classical approach,* Comm. Math. Phys., **239** (2003), 1–28.

[Cha06] Charles, L., *Symbolic calculus for Toeplitz operators with half-form,* J. Symplectic Geom. **4** (2006), 171-198.

[Cha07] Charles, L., *Semi-classical properties of geometric quantization with metaplectic correction,* Comm. Math. Phys. **270** (2007),445–480.

[Cha14] Charles, L., *On the quantization of compact symplectic manifold,* preprint arXiv:1409.8507, 2014, to appear in Journal of Geometric Analysis.

[CZ98] Chen, Q., Zhou, X., *Generalization of interpolation inequalities,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **226** (1998), 130–142.

[Chi09] Chihara H., *Bounded Berezin-Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space,* Int. Eq. Op. Th. **63** (2009), no.3, 321–335.

[Co92] Coburn, L.A., *Deformation estimates for the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys., **149** (1992), no. 2, 415–424.

[HS78] Halmos, P.R., and Sunder, V.S., *Bounded integral operators on L^2 spaces*, vol. 96, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.

[Gui95] Guillemin, V., *Star products on compact pre-quantizable symplectic manifolds,* Lett. Math. Phys., **35** (1995), 85–89.

[Ko70] Kostant, B., *Quantization and unitary representations. I. Prequantization,* in *Lectures in modern analysis and applications, III,* pp. 87–208, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 170, Springer, Berlin, 1970.

[La98] Landsman, N.P., *Mathematical topics between classical and quantum mechanics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[Le10] Lerner, N, *Metrics on the phase space and non-selfadjoint pseudo-differential operators,* Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications, **3**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2010)

[LFPS16] Le Floch, Y., Polterovich, L., Shabtai, O., in preparation.

[MM07] Ma, X., and Marinescu, G., *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

[MM08] Ma, X., and Marinescu, G., *Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds,* J. Geom. Anal. **18** (2008), 565-611.

[Po14] Polterovich, L., *Symplectic geometry of quantum noise,* Comm. Math. Phys. **327** (2014), 481-519.

[PR14] Polterovich, L., Rosen, D., *Function theory on symplectic manifolds*, CRM Monograph Series, 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.

[SZ02] Shiffman, B., and Zelditch, S., *Asymptotics of almost holomorphic sections of ample line bundles on symplectic manifolds,* J. Reine Angew. Math., **544** (2002), 181–222.

[Shu78] Shubin, M. A., *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory,* Translated from the 1978 Russian original by Stig I. Andersson. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[Sj08] Sjöstrand, J., *Pseudodifferential operators and weighted normed symbol spaces,* Serd. Math. J. **34** (2008), 1–38.

[Sou70] Souriau, J.-M., *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Maîtrises de mathématiques, Dunod, Paris, 1970.

[Tuy87] Tuynman, G. M., *Quantization: towards a comparison between methods,* J. Math. Phys., **28** (1987), 2829–2840.

[Z12] Zworski, M. *Semiclassical analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, 2012.

Laurent Charles
UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques
de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche
Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06
F-75005, Paris, France
laurent.charles@imj-prg.fr

Leonid Polterovich
Faculty of Exact Sciences
School of Mathematical Sciences
Tel Aviv University
69978 Tel Aviv, Israel
polterov@post.tau.ac.il